



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/492,844	01/27/2000	Joel Ronning	11684.05	1448

22854 7590 06/29/2005

MOORE, HANSEN & SUMNER, PLLP
225 SOUTH SIXTH ST
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER

GARG, YOGESH C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3625

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/492,844

Applicant(s)

RONNING ET AL.

Examiner

Yogesh C. Garg

Art Unit

3625

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 April 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,8,14-16,23,25,30,36-38,67 and 68 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,8,14-16,23,25,30,36-38,67 and 68 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/7/05.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/7/2005 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. Amendment received on 4/7/2005 is acknowledged and entered. Claims 1, 8, 14-16, 23, 25, 30 and 36-38 are currently amended. New claims 67 and 68 have been added. Currently claims 1, 3, 8, 14-16, 23, 25, 30, 36-38, 67, and 68 are pending for examination.

Response to Arguments

3.1. ***Priority claim:*** The applicant's arguments, see Remarks, page 6, filed on 4/7/2005 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. The examiner once again reviewed the provisional application 60/110,952, filed on December 4, 1998, (30 pages, specification consisting of pages 3-15, claims consisting of pages 16-24, abstract on page 25, drawings on pages 26-29) as well as the earlier filed non-provisional

Art Unit: 3625

application 09/372,253 and could not find supporting subject matter for the limitation, "permitting download of the file based on a number of attempted downloads of the file by the user and a number of successful downloads of the file by the user". Therefore currently amended claims 1, 3, 8, 14-16, 23, 25, 30, 36-38, 67 and 68 do not qualify to claim priority to the filing dates of the provisional application 60/110,952, filed on December 4, 1998 and non-provisional application 09/372,253 filed on 08/11/1999 to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112.

3.2 Applicant's arguments and currently amended claims, see Remarks on page 7, filed 4/7/2005, with respect to rejection of claims 1, 3, 8, 14 and 16 under 35 U.S.C. 101 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1, 3, 8, 14 and 16 under 35 U.S.C. 101 is withdrawn.

3.3 Applicant's arguments filed on 4/7/2005, see Remarks on pages 7-9, concerning rejection of claims 1, 3, 8, 14-16, 23, 25, 30, 36-38 under 35 USC 103 (a) and the reference Pitts have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection, that is as being unpatentable over Downs and further in view of Rogers (US Patent 5,652,786).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4.1. Claims 1, 3, 8, 14-16, 23, 25, 30, 36-38 and 67-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Downs and further in view of Rogers (US Patent 5,652,786), hereinafter, referred to Rogers.

Regarding claims 1, 3, 8, 16, and 67, Downs teaches a method performed by an electronic commerce system having a server and an end user machine interacting through a network for secure downloading of a file from the network, the method comprising steps of:

receiving a selection of a file via the network, receiving an order from a user, during an online session, for download of the selected file, the order including a file identifier related to the file and an order identifier related to the order, verifying the file identifier based upon particular information related to the file comprising one or more of the following: verifying a version identifier related to the file, a uniform resource locator for the file; or verifying the order identifier based upon particular information related to the order comprising a custom identifier associated with the user, verifying a transaction identifier associated with the order, and the particular information related to the order including : determining if the order identifier is valid for the order, meaning the order identifier exists for the order, determining if the order identifier is active, meaning the order was not canceled before the download of the file, and determining if the order identifier is non-suppressed, meaning the order was not canceled after the download of the file, during the online session, and selectively permitting the download of the file

Art Unit: 3625

based upon a number of requested downloads based upon the verification of the file identifier, transaction identifier and the order identifier, receiving a selection of a uniform resource locator for the file and determining if the uniform resource locator is valid, active, non-suppressed or charged, also selectively downloading the file based upon a number of successful downloads of the file and upon a time parameter related to submission of the order, and denying the download based upon a customer identifier associated with the user (at least see, col.3, lines 40-55,*transferring the encrypted data....clearing house.....transferring the re-encrypted data.....to the user's system....*". col.7, lines 2-16, "*..licensing authorization and control....conditions of purchase and license, such as permitted number of copies, number of plays, and the time interval or term the license may be validenabling intermediate or End-User (s) to unlock content after verification of a successful completion of licensing transaction..*", col.8, lines 26-31, "*..The architecture is open...Distribution of audio, programs, multimedia, video or other types of Content....*", col.10, lines 19-26, "*... Once an Electronic Digital Content Store(s) 103 completes a valid request for Electronic Content 113 from an End-User(s).....The Electronic Digital Content Stores (s) also authorizes the download of the SC containing the Content 113*", col.11, lines 30-54, "*..The End-User Device(s) 109 can be any player device.....The End-User device (s) 109 manages the download and storage of SCs containing the Digital Content.....the use or running-on an End-User device(s)*", col.24, lines 17-47, "*... Upon reception of the Order SC9s) 650 from the Ned-User Devices (09), the Clearinghouse (s) 105 verifies:2. that the order SC (s) 650 has not been altered.....Transaction Data 642 and Symmetric Key 623 are*

Art Unit: 3625

complete and authentic.....If the verifications are successful.....transfers the License SC (s) 660 to the End-User Device (s) 109....", col.26, lines 24-58, "...When an End-User Devices (109 receives the Content.....Instead, the SC (s) includes an external URL.....to point to the Content 113.....Electronic Digital Content Store (s) 103 also.....extracting metadata information from them to build HTML pages.....present descriptions of Content 113 to End-User (s), usually so they can purchase the Content 113 ", col.27, lines 6-21, "...The End-User device (s) 109 receives the Transaction SC (s) 640 and validates the integrity of the Transaction SC (s) 640 and the included Offer SC (s) 641.....The Clearinghouse (s) 105 validates and processes Order Sc (s) 650...access purchase Content 113 ", col.28, lines 30-50, "...Each record includesa URL that points to another SC (s) that includes the encrypted part...", col.29 line 18-col.30, line 62, "...[Content URL]....Content ID- A part that defines a unique ID assigned to a Content 113 item....SC Version-A version number assigned to the SC (s)...SC ID---", col.33, line 25-col.34, line 50, "...Transaction ID 535...End-User (s) ID---Verify the Digital Signature 643 of the SC (s).....Verify the integrity and authenticity of each Offer SC (s) 641 included in the Transaction Sc (s) 640...", col.40, line 35-col.41, line 4, "...The ID property is a unique value ...T property specifies the type of the SC (s)...A property identifies the author or publisher....D property identifies the date...E property identifies the date, and optionally, the time that the SC (s) expires...CCURL value...CCURL property identifies the URL of the Clearinghouse (s) 105. The value should be the form of a valid external URL...", col.44, lines 5-42, "... Validation....The Clearinghouse(s) 105 begins the validation of Order SC 9s) 650 by verifying the digital

Art Unit: 3625

signatures.....integrity of the Order SC (s) 650 parts...The process of verification of the Transaction and the Offer Sc (S)Then, the Storage Usage Conditions 519 of the Content 113...are validated by the Clearinghouse (s) 105.....", col.45, lines 15-28, "...In all the processing of the Order SC (s) 650 is successful...If the Clearinghouse (s) 105 is not able to successfully process the order SC (s) 650.....The HTML page indicates the reason that the Clearinghouse (s) 105 was unable to process the transaction ", col.46, lines 5-61, col.50, line 34-col.51, line 39, col.54, lines 55-64, col.59, line 7-col.60, line 13, col.72, lines 11-59, col.75, line 1-col.77, line 23, col.79, line 10-col.89, line 20.).

Downs further teaches determining if an order identifier is also active-corresponding order must not have been canceled before downloading the ordered file, and non-suppressed -order must not have been canceled after downloading the ordered file, (see Downs at least col.10, line 50-col.11, line 27, wherein Downs teaches that the Clearinghouse (s) 105 checks all transactions relating to sale before authorizing license and later checks if the user is permitted to use the authorized license and this corresponds to checking if the order is canceled before and after the order. Also see at least col.6, line 65-col.8, line 5, col.10, lines 19-48, col.81, line 10-col.82, line 22, col.85, lines 53-63.).

Downs does not teach permitting download of the file to the end user machine based on a number of attempted downloads of the file by the user and a number of successful downloads of the file by the user. However, in the analogous field of endeavor, that is method and apparatus of bill payment via a network to prevent fraudulent transactions, Rogers discloses permitting download of the file based on a

Art Unit: 3625

number of attempted downloads of the file by the user and a number of successful downloads of the file by the user (see at least col. 6, line 33-col. 9, line 17, wherein Rogers teaches that while users conducting bill payments via a network (telephone), the users are allowed certain number of attempts to validate the entry of access codes, account numbers, debit card numbers, dollar amount and if the attempted downloads of the file, that is entering the numbers of access codes, account numbers, debit card numbers, and dollar amount exceed certain numbers a corresponding action is initiated. If the attempts to download the file including the various information relating to numbers, as cited above, are within three attempts the user is allowed to continue the download of the files including that information but if the attempted downloads exceed 3 the transaction is stopped for further validation. Rogers teachings of permitting downloading of files containing access codes, account numbers, debit card numbers, dollar amount based upon the number of attempted downloads, that is three and if the third is successful then the transaction is continued and if unsuccessful then the transaction is discontinued is reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

In view of Rogers, it would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Downs to incorporate the feature of permitting download of the file based on a number of attempted downloads of the file by the user and a number of successful downloads of the file by the user. Doing so

Art Unit: 3625

helps the Downs system to prevent fraudulent use of debit cards for payments, as explicitly suggested Rogers.

4.2. With regards to claims 14-15, the steps of requesting identification of a file name for storing the file, displaying a default identification as the file name for storing the file based upon an identification of the file and transmitting the generated file identifier for display to the user are inherent during the download.

43. With regards to apparatus claims 23, 25, 30, 36-38, and 68, their limitations correspond to method claims 1, 3, 8, 14-16, 67 and are, therefore, analyzed and rejected similarly.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

(i) US Patent 6,108,642 to Findley discloses a device for selectively blocking a current remote purchase request using a credit card based on past purchase information history (see at least Abstract).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yogesh C. Garg whose telephone number is 571-272-6756. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(8:30-4:00).

Art Unit: 3625

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wynn Coggins can be reached on 571-272-7159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Yogesh C Garg', written over a horizontal line.

Yogesh C Garg
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3625

YCG
June 26, 2005